

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,591	01/17/2006	Morgan Larsson	1807-0187PUS1	4758
2592 7590 07/17/2009 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			EXAMINER	
			TENTONI, LEO B	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1791	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/17/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/539 591 LARSSON, MORGAN Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Leo B. Tentoni 1791 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 April 2009. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-7.9-15 and 17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-15 and 17 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 17 June 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1)

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

1)

Notice of Orattsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948)

2)

Notice of Orattsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948)

2)

Notice of Orattsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948)

2)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

3)

Notice of Informal Patient Application

3)

Notice of Informal Patient Application

5)

Notice of Informal Patient Application

6)

Notice of Informal Patient Application

7)

Notice of Informal Patient Application

8)

Notice of Informal Patient Application

9)

Notice of Information

10)

Notice of Inform

Application/Control Number: 10/539,591 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-7 in the reply filed on 29 April 2009 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that under PCT Rule 13.2, applicant may have, in addition to an independent claim for a given process, an independent claim for an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the process. This is not found persuasive because the groups do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made $\mbox{\sc FINAL}$.

2. Claims 9-15 and 17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 29 April 2009.

Priority

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 $U.S.C.\ 119(a)-(d)$, which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 3, reference to the claims should be

Application/Control Number: 10/539,591

Art Unit: 1791

deleted. On page 14, the drawing should be deleted and converted into a figure.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of Benda et al (U.S. Patent 5,393,482 A), Huang (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0173713 Al) or Heugel (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0061260 Al) in combination with Andersson et al (WO 01/81031 Al).

Benda et al (see the entire document, in particular, col. 1, lines 14-16; col. 2, lines 15-22; col. 4, line 4 to col. 12, line 1), Huang (see the entire document, in particular, paragraphs [0032] - [0035] and [0042]) and Heugel (see the entire document, in particular, paragraphs [0002], [0016] and [0017]) teach a process of making a three-dimensional object including the steps of applying powder layers to a work table, supplying energy from a radiation means to selected areas of the powder layers and forming cross-sections by simultaneously fusing the powder in at least two fusion zones. Benda et al, Huang and Heugel do not

Application/Control Number: 10/539,591

Art Unit: 1791

explicitly teach supplying energy according to an operating scheme. Andersson et al (see the entire document, in particular, page 2, line 27 to page 3, line 8) teaches a process of making a three-dimensional object including the step of supplying energy according to an operating scheme, and such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in the process of either Benda et al, Huang or Heugel principally in order to manufacture a three-dimensional product having reduced deviation in form or size.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leo B. Tentoni whose telephone number is (571) 272-1209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30 A.M. - 3:00 P.M.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina A. Johnson can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1791

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Leo B. Tentoni/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791